UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,805	05/24/2006	Shinji Kinoshita	SIP015	1106
	7590 03/05/200 ΓUCKER, PERREAUI	EXAMINER		
55 SOUTH CO	MMERICAL STREET	OLADAPO, TAIWO		
MANCHESTER, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
		10/561,805		KINOSHITA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		TAIWO OLAD		1797				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cov	rer sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CORPORATION OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF T	DATE OF THIS (1.136(a). In no event, ho d will apply and will expi ate, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	May 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nis action is non-f	inal.					
3)	/—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,					
· ·		an.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	· · ———							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) <mark></mark> ac	ccepted or b) 🗌 c	bjected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/561,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims, 1 - 11, drawn to a lubricant composition, classified in class 508, subclass

433.

II. Claims 12 - 18, drawn to a fluid bearing device using a lubricant composition,

classified in class 384, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the lubricant composition product can be used for a materially

different process besides fluid bearings, such as fire resistant phosphate esters of similar

compositions that are used in lubricating hydraulic systems.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions

listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of

the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different

classification;

Art Unit: 1797

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1797

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Edmund P. Pfleger on 02/25/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,805 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAIWO OLADAPO whose telephone number is (571)270-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797 Application/Control Number: 10/561,805

Page 6

Art Unit: 1797